P.01



RECEIVED **CENTRAL FAX CENTER** JUL 1 0 2006

PATENT RESEARCH SERVICES INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY LAW

> 1725 DUKE STREET SUITE 240 **ALEXANDRIA, VIRGINIA 22314** PHONE: (703) 519-9801 FACSIMILE: (703) 519-9802 WWW.KRAMERIP.COM

# Fax Memo

TO:

Mail Stop Appeal Brief Patents

**USPTO** 

KRAMER & AMADO, P.C.

**FAX NO.:** 

(571) 273-8300

FROM:

Terry W. Kramer

KRAMER & AMADO, P.C.

DATE:

July 10, 2006

SUBJECT:

U.S. Patent Application

Title: COMMUNICATIONS NETWORK USING DIFFERENT

TRANSMISSION PROPERTIES

Serial No.: 10/780,473

Attorney Docket No.: PHN16-613A

PAGES:

**INCLUDING COVER PAGE (18)** 

THE INFORMATION CONTAINED HEREIN is intended only for the exclusive use of the individual or entity named above. This facsimile may contain information that is privileged, confidential, or otherwise exempt from disclosure under applicable law. If the reader of this Information is NOT the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution, copying or use of this information in any way is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please call us immediately and return the original information to us via U.S. Postal Service.

Message: Submitted herewith are the following:

- Transmittal Form (1 page)
- Fee Transmittal Form (with duplicate 2 pages)
- Credit Card Payment Form (with duplicate 2 pages)
- Appeal Brief (12 pages)

In the event that the fees submitted herewith are insufficient, please charge any remaining balance, or credit any overpayment, to our Deposit Account Number 50-0578.

		ue	Potent and T	Approved for use through 07/3 rademark Office; U.S. DEPAR	PTO/\$B/21 (09-04) 11/2006. OMB 0651-0031 DMENT OF COMMERCE
Under the Paperwork Reduct	ion Act of 1995, no person	Application Number	lection of inf	iomation unless it displays a valo, 473	alid OMB control number.
TRANSMI	ΤΤΔΙ	Filing Date	<del></del>		- AEGEIV
FORN		First Named Inventor		ary 17, 2004 J.L. Van Driel	CENTRAL FAX
1 OKIA	<b>11</b>	Art Unit	2616	J.L. Vell Dilei	JUL 10
		Examiner Name		Daile	
(to be used for all corresponde		Attorney Docket Number		Raj K.	
Total Number of Pages in This S	Submission 17	Thomey booker Humber	PHN	16-613A	
	ENC	LOSURES (Check all	that apply	7	
Fee Transmittal Form Fee Attached  Amendment/Reply After Final Affidavits/declar Extension of Time Requ Express Abandonment Information Disclosure s  Certified Copy of Priority Document(s) Reply to Missing Parts/	ration(s) uest Request Statement Remail	Drawing(s)  Licensing-related Papers  Petition  Petition to Convert to a  Provisional Application  Power of Attorney, Revocation  Change of Correspondence in  Terminal Disclaimer  Request for Refund  CD, Number of CD(s)  Landscape Table on Clarks	Address	Appeal Communication of Appeals and In Appeal Communication (Appeal Notice, Bridge Proprietary Information Status Letter	iterferences cation to TC lef, Reply Brief)
Incomplete Application Reply to Missing under 37 CFR 1	g Parts 1.52 or 1.53 SIGNATURE C	F APPLICANT, ATTO	RNEY, C	R AGENT	
Krame	r & Amado, P	.C.			
Signature Mu	1 Moor	lell			
binted name	R. Woodall				
Date 7/10/	060		Reg. No.	43,286	
hereby certify that this correspondicient postage as first class the date shown below:	condence is being facsl		O or depos	ited with the United States	
Signature	novia a	ndo.			
	loira Anderso			Date 7 -1	0-06

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.5. The Information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.11 and 1.14. This collection is estimated to 2 hours to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450, DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

If you need assistance in completing the form, call 1-800-PTO-9199 and select option 2.

PTO/SB/17 (01-06)
Approved for use through 07/31/2008. OMB 0851-0032
d Trademark Office: U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Under the Paperwork Reduction	on Act of 1995	no persons are require	d to resp						VIB control numbe
Fees pursuant to the Consolidated Approprietions Act, 2005 (H.R. 4818).  FEE TRANSMITTAL				Complete if Known					
				Application Number 1		10/780,473			CENTRAL
			┕	iling Date		Februa	ry 17, 200	)4	PMHIAISO
Foi	r <b>FY</b> 20	006	F	irst Named Inv	entor	Carel J	.L. Van D	riel	
Applicant claims small	entity status	See 37 CFR 1.27		Examiner Name	•	Jain, R	aj K.		
Applicant claims small entity status. See 37 CFR 1.27				Art Unit		2616			<b></b>
OTAL AMOUNT OF PAY	MENT (\$)	500.00	F	Attorney Docke	t No.	PHN16	-613A		
METHOD OF PAYMENT	r (check all	that apply)							
Check Credit (	CardN	Money Order	None	Other (	olesse ide	ntify):			
Deposit Account p									
<b>***</b>		account, the Director			: (check	all that a	apply)		
Charge fee(s)	indicated be	elow		Charg	je fee(s) i	indicate	d below, ex	cept for t	he filing fee
Charge any ander 37 CFR under 37 CFR VARNING: Information on this of the complete of the compl	R 1.16 and 1.:	come public. Credit ca		U Oloun				rovide cred	lit card
FEE CALCULATION (A	II the fees	below are due up	on filin	g or may be	subject	to a s	urcharge	.)	
. BASIC FILING, SEAR			ES	<u> </u>					
	FILING F	FEES S mall Entity		H FEES Small Entity	EXAM		ON FEES		
Application Type	Fee (\$)		ee (\$)	Fee (\$)	Fee		ae (\$)	Fee	s Paid (\$)
Utility	300	150 5	500	250	200	1	100		
Design	200	100	100	<b>50</b>	130		65	<del></del>	
Plant	200	100 3	300	150	160		80		
Reissue	300	150 5	500	250	600	3	300	•	
Provisional	200	100	0	0	0		0		
2. EXCESS CLAIM FEE <u>Fee Description</u> Each claim over 20 (in	·	eissues)					<del>Fee (\$)</del> 50	Small Er Fee (\$	
Each independent claim over 3 (including Reissues)					200	100			
Multiple dependent cl		·	r n	_:_4			360	180	
Total Claims - 20 or HP =	Extra Claim	<u>s Fee (\$)</u>	ree P	aid (\$)		•	<u>Multiple D</u> Fee (\$)		Ciaims Paid (\$)
HP = highest number of total	•	<del>-</del>							
Indep. Claims - 3 or HP =	Extra Claim	<u> </u>	Fee Pa	31 <b>4 (2)</b>		_	•		
HP = highest number of indep		paid for, if greater than	3.	<del></del>					
. APPLICATION SIZE F If the specification and		xceed 100 sheets o	f paper	excluding c	lectroni	cally fi	iled seave	nce or co	mputer
listings under 37 CF	R 1.52(e)),	the application siz	ze fee d	lue is \$250 (\$	125 for				
sheets or fraction the	ereof. See	35 U.S.C. 41(a)(1)	(G) and	d 37 CFR 1.1	6(s).	n shana	ns Enn	(6)	Ean Baid (4)
<u>Total Sheets</u> - 100 =	Extra Shee	/50 =		idditional 50 o round up to a w		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		=	Fee Paid (\$)
OTHER FEE(S) Non-English Specific	ation \$1	30 fee (no small en				•		•	Fees Paid (\$)
Other (e.g., late filing			_	-vautj				_	500.00
omer (e.g., rate tilling	, our criai ge)	· Authear blief Lilling )	- <del>4</del> 8						
BMITTED BY	-1		1 100	nietration No		**************************************			
nature	1	worlell)	(Att	gistration No. omey/Agent)	3,286		Telepho	ne 703-519	9801
ле (Print/Type) Mark R. W	oodall						Date Ju	y 10, 200€	

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.136. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.14. This collection is estimated to take 30 minutes to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

If you need assistance in completing the form, call 1-800-PTO-9199 and select option 2.

PTO/SB/17 (01-06)

Approved for use through 07/31/2006. OMB 0651-0032 U.S. Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number Complete if Known **VED** 

					v	ombiefe u tar	QWII	
Fees pursuant to the Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2005 (H.R. 4818).  FEE TRANSMITTAL				Application Nu		10/780,473	DEAR	
			<b>1</b>	Filing Date		ebruary 17, 20	RECE	
F	or FY 2	006		First Named In	ventor (	Carel J.L. Van I	<u>Driel</u>	ENTRAL FA
Applicant claims sm	all antity etatus	= \$ee 37 CFR 11	27	Examiner Nem	e (	lain, Raj K.		JUL 1
Applicant claims small entity status. See 37 CFR 1.27			Art Unit		2616			
TOTAL AMOUNT OF PA	YMENT (\$	> 500.00		Attorney Docke	et No. F	PHN16-613A		
METHOD OF PAYME	NT (check al	I that apply)						
Check Credi	it Card	Money Order	None	Other (	please iden	stify):		
Deposit Account								
For the above-ide				•				
Charge fee	(s) indicated b	wole		Chan	œ fee(s) i	ndicated below,	except for the f	filing fee
Charge any	y additional fee	(s) or underpayme	ents of fee(s			payments	•	_
under 37 C WARNING: Information on t Information and authorization	his form may b	ecome public. Cred	it card infor	mation should r	_		. Provide credit c	ard
FEE CALCULATION	(All the fees	below are due	upon filir	ig or may be	subject	to a surcharg	je.)	
1. BASIC FILING, SEA	ARCH, AND	EXAMINATION	FEES					
	FILING			H FEES	EXAM	NATION FEES	3	
<b>Application Type</b>	Fee (\$)	Small Entity Fee (\$)	Fee (\$)	Small Entity Fee (\$)	Fee (	Small Entity Fee (\$)	Fees P	aid (\$)
Utility	300	150	500	250	200	100	•	
Design	200	100	100	50	130	65		····
Plant	200	100	300	150	160	80		
Reissue	300	150	500	250	600	300		
Provisional	200	100	0	0	0	0	****	
2. EXCESS CLAIM F	EE\$					Eag (\$)	Small Entity	ž .
Fee Description Each claim over 20	(including R	'eissnes)				<u>Fee (\$)</u> 50	<u>Fee (\$)</u> 25	
Each independent of		· ·	ues)			200	100	
Multiple dependent		,	·			360	180	
Total Claims	Extra Clair	ns <u>Fee (\$)</u>	Fee P	<u>aid (\$)</u>			Dependent Cla	
- 20 or HP = Highest number of to	***************************************	or, if greater than 20.	_ =			Fee (\$)	<u>Fee Pai</u>	<u>a (\$)</u>
Indep. Claims	Extra Clair			aid (\$)				
- 3 or HP = HP = highest number of inc		X spaid for, if orester t	_ = han 3.	· · · ·				
3. APPLICATION SIZE	E FEE	•						
If the specification ar								
listings under 37 sheets or fraction						small entity) R	or cach adding	טר זצוול
Total Sheets	Extra Shee	ets Numb	er of each	additional 50	or fraction		e <u>(\$)</u> Fee	Paid (\$)
- 100 =	<del></del>	/ 50 =	(	round up to a	whole nun	nber) x		
i. OTHER FEE(S) Non-English Speci	fication \$1	30 fee (no smal	l entity di	scount			Fee	es Paid (\$)
Other (e.g., late fili	_	•	_					500.00
onim (e.g., rate 11th	we oaronar Ro	ANTHEI DIET FIII	THE FOR					
UBMITTED BY			1 10.	origination No.				
gnature	well 1	world	/ (At	gistration No. tomey/Agent)	43,288	Feleph	<sup>1009</sup> 703-519-98	301
ame (Print/Type) Mark R.	Woodall					Date J	luly 10, 2006	

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.136. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.14. This collection is estimated to take 30 minutes to complete. including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. 8ox 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

If you need assistance in completing the form, call 1-800-PTO-9199 and select option 2.

# GENTRAL FAX GENTER

# JUL 1 0 2006

**PATENT** 

## IN THE UNITED STATE PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE BEFORE THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES

In re application of:

Carel J.L. Van Driel

For:

COMMUNICATIONS NETWORK USING

DIFFERENT TRANSMISSION

**PROPERTIES** 

Serial No.

10/780,473

Filed

February 17, 2004

Art Unit

2616

Examiner

Jain, Raj K.

Attorney Docket No.

PHN16-613A

Confirmation No.

1391

# APPEAL BRIEF

Mail Stop Appeal Brief Patents Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

Sir:

This Appeal Brief is submitted in support of the Notice of Appeal filed June 1, 2006.

#### I. REAL PARTY IN INTEREST

The party in interest is the assignee, Koninklijke Philips Electronics, N.V. The assignment document is recorded at Reel 014993 and Frame 0473.

07/11/2006 MBINAS

00000049 10780473

01 FC:1402

500.00 OP

JUL 1 0 2006

Application No: 10/780,473

Attorney's Docket No: PHI 3040

II. RELATED APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES

Following are identified any prior or pending appeals, interferences or judicial proceedings,

known to Appellant, Appellant's representative, or the Assignee, that may be related to, or which

will directly affect or be directly affected by or have a bearing upon the Board's decision in the

pending appeal:

There are no related appeals and interferences.

III. STATUS OF CLAIMS

This is an appeal from the final rejection dated April 3, 2006 of claims 11-16. No other

claims are pending. No claims are allowed. Claims 1-10 are cancelled.

IV. STATUS OF AMENDMENTS

All Amendments filed in this application has been entered. A correct copy of appealed

claims 11-16, including all entered amendments thereto, appears in the attached Appendix.

V. SUMMARY OF CLAIMED SUBJECT MATTER

According to an embodiment of the invention, a communication network comprises a

plurality of secondary nodes being coupled to at least one primary node. The secondary nodes

transmit packets to the primary node; and include a first address translation means for translating

initial address information carried by packets received from at least one terminal device into address

-2-

P.09

Application No: 10/780,473 Attorney's Docket No: PHI 3040

information carrying information about the transmission properties to be used for transmitting the packets to the primary node.

#### VI. GROUNDS OF REJECTION TO BE REVIEWED ON APPEAL

KRAMER & AMADO, P.C.

- Claims 11 and 13-16 are rejected as allegedly being anticipated under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) by U.S. Patent Number 6,026,086 to Lancelot.
- Claim 12 is rejected as allegedly being unpatentable under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) over Lancelot 2. in view of U.S. Patent Number 5,802,063 to Deiss.

#### VII. ARGUMENT

#### 1. <u>35 U.S.C. § 102(e)</u>

The Office Action rejects claims 11 and 13-16 under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) as allegedly being anticipated by Lancelot. Applicant respectfully requests that this rejection be reversed because Lancelot does not disclose the subject matter according to the combinations recited in claims 11 and 13-16.

Lancelot discloses a circuit switched network interface for communication of a circuit switched network protocol signal; a packet-based network interface for communication of a packet-based network protocol signal; and a transceiver for the transmission and reception of a first protocol signal. A communications controller is coupled to the circuit switched network interface, the packet-based network interface and the transceiver. The communications controller, through a set of program instructions and an inter-working function, inter-converts the

P.10

Application No: 10/780,473 Attorney's Docket No: PHI 3040

first protocol signal with the circuit switched network protocol signal and inter-converts the first protocol signal with the packet-based network protocol signal. Lancelot's network has a primary node for translating data into a form suitable for transmission over a packet-based network, or over a circuit-based network. Lancelot's network also has a secondary node which transmits data to the primary node using a defined protocol, such as CACS (See Abstract, Col. 3, lines 45-60).

The test for determining if a reference anticipates a claim, for purposes of a rejection under 35 U.S.C. § 102, is whether the reference discloses all the elements of the claimed combination, or the mechanical equivalents thereof functioning in substantially the same way to produce substantially the same results. As noted by the Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit in Lindemann Maschinenfabrick GmbH v. American Hoist and Derrick Co., 221 USPQ 481, 485 (Fed. Cir. 1984), in evaluating the sufficiency of an anticipation rejection under 35 U.S.C. § 102, the Court stated:

> Anticipation requires the presence in a single prior art reference disclosure of each and every element of the claimed invention, arranged as in the claim.

Therefore, if Lancelot does not disclose each and every element according to the combinations recited in the rejected claims, then Lancelot fails to anticipate the rejected claims. In that instance, the subject matter recited in the rejected claims is patentably distinct from Lancelot.

Claim 11 recites a communication network including "secondary nodes comprising a transmitter for transmitting packets according to predetermined transmission properties; and a first address translator for translating initial address information carried by packets ... into address information carrying information about ... the predetermined transmission properties to be used for

transmitting the associated packets." Appellant respectfully asserts that Lancelot fails to disclose the translation of address information into information about the transmission properties to be used for transmission. Specifically, Lancelot fails to disclose the translation of initial address information carried by packets into information about the transmission properties to be used for transmitting the associated packets by a secondary node.

The primary nodes disclosed in Lancelot are able to transmit according to different transmission properties, i.e., packet-based vs. circuit-based. See col. 3, lines 45-67. However, Lancelot does not disclose that the secondary node is able to transmit according to predetermined transmission properties included within initial address information of packets. Accordingly, Lancelot does not disclose all of the subject matter according to the combinations recited in claim 11.

For at least the foregoing reasons, Applicant respectfully submits that claim 11 is allowable. Claims 13-14 depend upon allowable claim 11 and are also allowable at least by virtue of their dependency therefrom. Therefore, Appellant respectfully requests that the Board reverse the rejection of claims 11, 13, and 14 as being allegedly anticipated by Lancelot.

Claim 15 recites a "destination node for communication in a communication system having a plurality of source nodes arranged for transmitting of packets containing initial address information..., the destination node arranged to perform a second address translation, translating the address of the destination node back into the initial address information." Lancelot does not disclose translating the address of the destination node back into the initial address information. Lancelot provides reverse address resolution protocol (RARP) functionality, for the primary station (destination node) to determine whether a packet from a first secondary station is destined

KRAMER & AMADO, P.C.

Application No: 10/780,473 Attorney's Docket No: PHI 3040

for another, second secondary station. However, Reverse Address Resolution Protocol (RARP) is a protocol used to resolve an IP address from a given hardware address. The primary station also translates IP addresses into hardware addresses of secondary stations. See col. 11, lines 20-30. Thus, the primary station merely translates IP addresses into hardware addresses, and vice versa.

Accordingly, Lancelot does not disclose all of the subject matter according to the combination recited in claim 15. Thus, for at least the foregoing reasons, Applicant respectfully requests that the Board reverse the rejection of claim 15 as allegedly being anticipated by Lancelot.

Claim 16 recites a "communication method comprising ... translating initial address information carried by packets received from at least one terminal device into address information carrying information about the transmission properties to be used for transmission of the packets." Lancelot does not disclose the translation of address information from a terminal device into information about transmission properties. More specifically, Lancelot does not disclose the translation of initial address information carried by packets into information about the transmission properties to be used for transmitting the associated packets.

Thus, Applicant respectfully asserts that Lancelot does not disclose the subject matter according to the combination recited in claim 16. For at least the foregoing reasons, Applicant respectfully requests that the Board reverse the rejection of claim 16 as allegedly being anticipated by Lancelot.

#### 2. 35 U.S.C. § 103(a)

Claim 12 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as allegedly being unpatentable over Lancelot in view of Deiss. The test for determining if a claim is rendered obvious by one or more references for purposes of a rejection under 35 U.S.C. § 103 is set forth in MPEP § 706.02(j):

To establish a prima facie case of obviousness, three basic criteria must be met. First, there must be some suggestion or motivation, either in the references themselves or in the knowledge generally available to one of ordinary skill in the art, to modify the reference or to combine reference teachings. Second, there must be a reasonable expectation of success. Finally, the prior art reference (or references when combined) must teach or suggest all the claim limitations. The teaching or suggestion to make the claimed combination and the reasonable expectation of success must both be found in the prior art and not based on applicant's disclosure. In re Vaeck, 947 F.2d 488, 20 USPQ2d 1438 (Fed. Cir. 1991).

Therefore, if the above-identified criteria are not met, then the cited reference(s) fails to render obvious the claimed invention and, thus, the claimed invention is distinguishable over the cited reference(s).

As detailed above in connection with the rejection of claim 11 under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) as allegedly being anticipated by Lancelot, Applicant respectfully submits that claim 11 is not anticipated by Lancelot. Additionally, Applicant respectfully submits that Deiss fails to overcome the deficiencies in Lancelot described above in connection with the rejection of claim 11. Thus, Applicant respectfully submits that the Official Action correctly did not rely upon Deiss to make up for the deficiencies in Lancelot described above.

For at least the foregoing reasons, claim 12, which depends from claim 11, is allowable at least by virtue of its dependency therefrom. Thus, Applicant respectfully requests that the Board reverse the rejection of claim 12 as being unpatentable over Lancelot in view of Deiss.

### 3. Conclusion

For at least all of the reasons discussed above, Applicant respectfully submits that the rejections are in error and that claims 11-16 are in condition for allowance. Thus, for at least all of the above reasons, Appellant respectfully requests that this Honorable Board reverse the rejections of claims 11-16.

Respectfully submitted, KRAMER & AMADO, P.C.

000 101 /11

L Model

DATE: July 10, 2006

Mark R. Woodall Reg. No. 43,286

KRAMER & AMADO, P.C. 1725 Duke Street, Suite 240 Alexandria, VA 22314 Tel. (703) 519-9801 Fax. (703) 519-9802

RECEIVED CENTRAL PAX CENTER

JUL 1 0 2006

P.15

# VIII. CLAIMS APPENDIX

#### CLAIMS INVOLVED IN THE APPEAL:

Communication network comprising a plurality of 11. secondary nodes being coupled to at least one primary node,

the secondary nodes comprising:

a transmitter for transmitting packets to the primary node according to predetermined transmission properties; and

a first address translator for translating initial address information carried by packets received from at least one terminal device into address information carrying information about:

- (a) the predetermined transmission properties to be used for transmitting the associated packets, and
  - (b) a destination node;

the communication network further comprising a second address translator for translating the address information back into the initial address information.

A communication network as claimed in Claim 11, comprising a selector for selecting 12. packets according to address information in their header, the transmitter transmitting the packets with the transmission properties dependent on the selection performed by the selector.

13. A communication network as claimed in Claim 11, wherein the primary node comprises the second address translator.

JUL-10-2006 16:27

- 14. A communication network as claimed in Claim 11, the communication network comprising a cross connect for passing packets from the secondary nodes to an outside network, wherein the second address translator is arranged for translating the address information before the packets are applied to the cross connect.
- 15. A destination node for communication in a communication system having a plurality of source nodes arranged for transmitting of packets containing initial address information whereby a source node that is sending a packet performs a first address translation providing information within the packet header designating the address of the destination node, the destination node arranged to perform a second address translation, translating the address of the destination node back into the initial address information.
- 16. A communication method comprising transmitting packets according to predetermined transmission properties, translating initial address information carried by packets received from at least one terminal device into address information carrying information about the transmission properties to be used for transmission of the packets, and translating said address information back into the initial address information at a destination node.

JUL-10-2006 16:28 KRAMER & AMADO, P.C. 703 5199802 P.17

Application No: 10/780,473

Attorney's Docket No: PHI 3040

IX. EVIDENCE APPENDIX

A copy of the following evidence 1) entered by the Examiner, including a statement setting forth where in the record the evidence was entered by the Examiner, 2) relied upon by the Appellant in the appeal, and/or 3) relied upon by the Examiner as to the grounds of rejection to be reviewed on appeal, is attached:

NONE.

# RECEIVED CENTRAL FAX CENTER

Application No: 10/780,473 Attorney's Docket No: PHI 3040

# X. RELATED PROCEEDINGS APPENDIX

Copies of relevant decisions in prior or pending appeals, interferences or judicial proceedings, known to Appellant, Appellant's representative, or the Assignee, that may be related to, or which will directly affect or be directly affected by or have a bearing upon the Board's decision in the pending appeal are attached:

NONE

JUL-10-2006